```
1
   GEORGE S. CARDONA
   Acting United States Attorney
   WAYNE R. GROSS
   Assistant United States Attorney
 3
   Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office
   CARMEN R. LUEGE
 4
   Assistant United States Attorney
   California Bar Number:
                             115905
 5
        Ronald Reagan Federal Building
        411 W. Fourth Street, Ste. 8000
 6
        Santa Ana, California 92701
        Telephone: (714) 338-3538
 7
        Facsimile: (714) 338-3708
        E-mail:
                    carmen.luege@usdoj.gov
8
   Attorney for Plaintiff
9
   United States of America
10
11
12
```

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DI ORNIA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) SA CR No. 06-61-JVS Plaintiff, ) PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT ) CHARLES FIELDS CHARLES EDWARD FIELDS, Defendant.

This constitutes the plea agreement between Charles Fields ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("the USAO") in the abovecaptioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative or regulatory authorities.

#### PLEA

Defendant agrees to plead guilty to counts one and two 2. of the indictment in <u>United States v. Charles Fields</u>, SA CR No. 06-61-CJC.

## NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

3. In order for defendant to be guilty of count one, which charges a violation of Title 18 U.S.C. 371, the following must be true: (a) there was an agreement between two or more persons to travel in interstate commerce and use the facilities of interstate commerce to promote, manage, establish, and carry on a unlawful business enterprise, in this case prostitution, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3); (b) defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing its object and intending to help accomplish it; and (c) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

To establish a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3) the government must prove as follows: (a) a defendant traveled in interstate or used interstate facilities with the intent to promote or facilitate the promotion of an unlawful activity (here a prostitution business enterprise); and (b) a defendant performed an act in interstate commerce in furtherance of the unlawful activity.

In order for defendant to be guilty of count two, which charges a violation of Title 18 U.S.C. 1956(h), the following must be true: (a) there was an agreement between two or more persons to conduct financial transactions affecting interstate commerce in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1); (b) defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing its object and intending to help accomplish it; and (c) one of the members of

the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

To establish a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1) the government must prove as follows: (a) a defendant conducted a financial transaction involving property that represented the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, that is, violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3), (b) the defendant knew that the property represented the proceeds of said unlawful activities; and (c) the defendant acted with the intent to promote the carrying on of the unlawful activity or defendant knew that the financial transaction was designed to conceal or disguise the nature, source, ownership or control of the proceeds of the unlawful activities.

Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses as described in counts one and two of the indictment.

#### **PENALTIES**

4. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371 is: 5 years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h) is: 20 years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense,

whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100. Therefore, the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 25 years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$750,000.00 dollars or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.

- 5. Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater that the statutory maximum stated above.
- 6. Defendant also understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.
- 7. Defendant further understands that the conviction in this case may subject defendant to various collateral consequences, including but not limited to, deportation, revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case, and suspension or revocation of a professional license.

  Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences

will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

## FACTUAL BASIS

8. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the statement of facts provided below. This statement of facts includes facts sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the sentencing guideline factors set forth in paragraph 11 below. It is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to defendant that relate to that conduct.

Defendant met co-defendant Jong Ock Mao and learned that Mao operated businesses that appeared to provide legitimate personal care services, such as massage therapy, tanning, acupressure, acupuncture, and chiropractic adjustments, when in fact, the business establishments offered customers sexual services in exchange for money (hereinafter these business establishments will be commonly referred to as the "Prostitution Businesses"). When defendant began working for Mao, defendant knew that codefendant Mao employed multiple females at each prostitution businesses she owned to provide sexual services to customers. Defendant also knew that co-defendant Mao used, or caused others to use, the facilities of interstate commerce to establish and promote her prostitution businesses.

Mao paid defendant a monthly income for his services in appearing as the owner of the prostitution businesses to conceal the fact that, as defendant, well knew, co-defendant Mao was the

de facto owner of the prostitution businesses. To assist co-defendant Mao to disguise and conceal the true ownership of her businesses, as well as the profits generated by said businesses, defendant engaged in the following conduct:

On or about January 31, 2002, defendant obtained a business license for a business known as Tanning and Foot Salon, at 917 South La Brea Avenue, Inglewood, California. Although the business operating at the 917 South La Brea location appeared to be own by defendant, defendant knew that he was not the owner of the business and that co-defendant Mao owned the business operating at that location. Defendant also knew that the 917 South La Brea location was used as a front to conduct prostitution activities. Defendant transferred to co-defendant Mao the profits generated by the prostitution activities conducted at the 917 South La Brea location. In tax year 2002, defendant submitted a tax return to the IRS that created the false impression that he owned the business operated at the 917 South La Brea location. In that tax return defendant reported that he paid ZNC Plaza a management fee in the sum of \$500,000.00. At the time defendant submitted this tax return, defendant knew that the management fee represented the proceeds of the prostitution activities conducted at the 917 South La Brea location and that co-defendant Mao owned ZNC Plaza.

On or about April 29, 2003, defendant obtained a business license for a business known as Eddie's Nutrition Weight Watcher, at 4809 Firestone Blvd., South Gate. Although the business

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 |

14

15

18 |

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

operating at the 4809 Firestone location appeared to be own by defendant, defendant knew that he was not the owner of the business and that co-defendant Mao owned the business operating at that location. Defendant also knew that the business operating at the 4809 Firestone location was used as a front to conduct prostitution activities and that co-defendant Mao received the profits from the operation of that business. On or about October 8, 2004, defendant opened a business checking account, at Bank of the West, in the name of Eddie's Nutrition. Between August 1, 2005, and October 11, 2005, defendant deposite into that account approximately \$27,000.00 in cash which defendant knew represented the proceeds of the prostitution activities conducted at Eddie's Nutrition.

On or about December 28, 2004, at Mao's request, defendant traveled from Los Angeles, California, to Dallas, Texas to establish a business known as Paradise Spa. On or about December Assumed Name Purification of the Condition of Dallas, Texas to establish a business known as Paradise Spa. On or about December 28, 2004, defendant obtained a business license for Paradise Spa to create the false impression that he owned Paradise Spa. At the time, defendant knew that he was not the true owner of Paradise Spa and that co-defendant Mao owned the business. Defendant also knew that Paradise Spa was used as a front to conduct prostitution activities and that co-defendant Mao received the profits from the operation of that business. On or about December 28, 2004, defendant and co-defendant Edward Lutt opened a joint business account at Bank of America, in Dallas, Texas, in the name of Paradise Spa. Between January 3, 2005, and

SH (

December 27, 2005, defendant deposited into the Paradise Spa acct, bank account approximately \$55,950.00 in cash, which defendant knew represented the proceeds of the prostitution activities conducted at Paradise Spa.

## WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 9. By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
  - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
  - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to the assistance of legal counsel at trial, including the right to have the Court appoint counsel for defendant for the purpose of representation at trial. (In this regard, defendant understands that, despite his or her plea of guilty, he or she retains the right to be represented by counsel and, if necessary, to have the court appoint counsel if defendant cannot afford counsel at every other stage of the proceedings.)
- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right, if defendant wished, to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to call witnesses and to subpoena those witnesses to testify.

g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

By pleading guilty, defendant also gives up any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

#### SENTENCING FACTORS

- 10. Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") among other factors in determining defendant's sentence. Defendant understands, however, that the Sentencing Guidelines are only advisory, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines, the Court may be free to exercise its discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.
- 11. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the following applicable sentencing guideline factors:

```
Base Offense Level : <u>14</u> [U.S.S.G. § 2S1.1(a)(1)]
```

Specific Offense Characteristics

(§ 1956 conviction) : +2 [U.S.S.G. § 2S1.1(b)(2)]

Mitigating Role :  $\underline{-2}$  [U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2(b)]

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments and departures are appropriate.

12. There is no agreement as to defendant's criminal

history or criminal history category.

13. The stipulations in this agreement do not bind either the United States Probation Office or the Court. Both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the calculation of the sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's sentencing guidelines calculations are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 11 are consistent with the facts of this case.

# DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 14. Defendant agrees that he or she will:
  - a) Plead guilty as set forth in this agreement.
- b) Not knowingly and willfully fail to abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this agreement.
- c) Not knowingly and willfully fail to: (i) appear as ordered for all court appearances, (ii) surrender as ordered for service of sentence, (iii) obey all conditions of any bond, and (iv) obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- d) Not commit any crime; however, offenses which would be excluded for sentencing purposes under U.S.S.G. § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- e) Not knowingly and willfully fail to be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the U.S. Probation Office, and the Court.

f) Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay.

#### THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 15. If defendant complies fully with all defendant's obligations under this agreement, the USAO agrees:
- a) To abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this agreement.
- b) At the time of sentencing to move to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment as against defendant.

  Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the dismissed counts in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, where the sentence should fall within that range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the determination of the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the sentencing guidelines and all other relevant factors.
- c) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, to recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable sentencing guideline offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and to recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d) To recommend that defendant be sentenced at the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range provided that the total offense level as calculated by the Court is 12 or

higher and provided that the Court does not depart downward in offense level or criminal history category. If the total offense level falls within Zone C of the sentencing table, the government will recommend a split sentence as authorized by U.S.S.G. \$5C1.1(d)(2).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 |

13 l

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- 16. If defendant, at any time between the execution of this agreement and defendant's sentencing on a non-custodial sentence or surrender for service on a custodial sentence, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement 12 breached. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, defendant will not be able to withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement.
  - 17. Following a knowing and willful breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO elect to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
  - Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the commencement of any such prosecution or action.
  - Defendant gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of preindictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such prosecution, except

to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing of this agreement.

defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing; ii) the stipulated factual basis statement in this agreement; and iii) any evidence derived from such statements, are admissible against defendant in any future prosecution of defendant, and defendant shall assert no claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from any statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

#### LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

18. Defendant gives up the right to appeal any sentence imposed by the Court, and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that (a) the sentence is within the statutory maximum specified above and is constitutional, b) the Court in determining the applicable guideline range does not depart upward in offense level or criminal history category, and determines that the total offense level is 12 or below, and (c) the Court imposes a sentence within or below the range corresponding to the determined total offense level and criminal history category. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a post-conviction collateral attack on the conviction or sentence, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, a claim of newly discovered

13 |

16 |

evidence, or an explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant retains the ability to appeal the court's determination of defendant's criminal history category and the conditions of supervised release imposed by the court, with the exception of the following: standard conditions set forth in district court General Orders 318 and 01-05; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).

19. The USAO gives up its right to appeal the sentence, provided that (a) the Court in determining the applicable guideline range does not depart downward in offense level or criminal history category, (b) the Court determines that the total offense level is 12 and (c) the Court imposes a sentence within or above the range corresponding to the determined total offense level and criminal history category.

### COURT NOT A PARTY

20. The Court is not a party to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' stipulations. Even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from any stipulation, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this

agreement. No one - not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court - can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

#### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

21. Except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's counsel. Nor may any additional agreement, understanding or condition be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

#### PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

22. The parties agree and stipulate that this Agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire Agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

This agreement is effective upon signature by defendant and an Assistant United States Attorney.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
20 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE S. CARDONA Acting United States Attorney

23 <u>Carmen Luege</u> <u>August 20, 2007</u>
CARMEN R. LUEGE
24 Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this agreement and carefully discussed every 2 part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. My attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guideline provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises or inducements have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. Finally, I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter.

Defendant 13

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

I am Charles Fields' attorney. I have carefully discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his/ner rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge, my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and

20 voluntary one.

HONTEPUBCO COLIN O'NEILL

Counsel for Defendant

Charles Fields 24

# Pleas and Plea-Related Documents

8:06-cr-00061-JVS USA v. Mao et al

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

# **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered by Luege, Carmen on 8/21/2007 at 6:04 PM PDT and filed on 8/21/2007

Case Name:

USA v. Mao et al

Case Number:

8:06-cr-61

Filer:

USA

**Document Number: 132** 

#### **Docket Text:**

PLEA AGREEMENT filed by Plaintiff USA as to Defendant Charles Edward Fields (Luege, Carmen)

## 8:06-cr-61-3 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Roger Jon Diamond rogdiamond@aol.com

Stephen G Frye sgf@sgfryelaw.com

Carmen R Luege USACAC.SACriminal@usdoj.gov, carmen.luege@usdoj.gov

Elizabeth Macias zzCAC\_FPD\_Document\_Receiving@fd.org, elizabeth\_macias@fd.org

Nicoletta Montefusco n.montefusco@yahoo.com

Errol H Stambler estambler@msn.com

Craig M Wilke zzCAC\_FPD\_Document\_Receiving@fd.org, craig\_wilke@fd.org

## 8:06-cr-61-3 Notice has been delivered by First Class U. S. Mail or by fax to: :

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:** Main Document

Original filename: N:\cluege\ECF Filings\CAC.SA.CR0600061.20070821.CL.Fields Plea

Agreement.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:** 

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=8/21/2007] [FileNumber=4607367-0] [7c4bf228c9807aebd3c165d7392c174449359d4993679837432a294e1aec638e7a97 ddcf75047c9a98ba3da5e74d5d4aecac0ea495fc7b22927f43050e1a8320]]